

Remarks

These remarks are set forth in response to the Office Action. Presently, claims 1 through 15 are pending in the Patent Application. In the Office Action, claims 1, 3, 6, 7, 9-11 and 13 have been rejected on cited art whereas claims 2, 4, 5, 8, 12, 14 and 15 have only been objected to as being dependent upon rejected base claims. Additionally the specification has been objected to for minor informalities and claims 1 and 11 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph for an intrinsic ambiguity as perceived by the Examiner.

In response the Applicants have corrected the minor informalities in the specification and the Applicants further have corrected the perceived ambiguity by reciting the detection of the presence of a reverse proxy that obscures from view the server acting as a source of the markup language document (though the server source of the codebase is known). Yet further, the Applicants have amended the allowable claims to incorporate the limitations of the intervening base claims. Finally, the Applicants have canceled the rejected claims. Accordingly, this Application is believed to be in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested. The Applicants request that the Examiner call the undersigned if clarification is needed on any matter within this Amendment, or if the Examiner believes a telephone interview would expedite the prosecution of the subject application to completion.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: April 4, 2007

/Steven M. Greenberg/

Steven M. Greenberg

Reg. No. 44,725

CUSTOMER NUMBER 46321